Case: 3:16-cv-01106-JJH Doc #: 47 Filed: 08/16/16 1 of 6. PageID #: 842

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

BOYNTON BEACH FIREFIGHTERS' PENSION FUND on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

HCP, INC.; HCR MANORCARE, INC.; LAURALEE MARTIN; TIMOTHY SCHOEN; PAUL A. ORMOND; and STEVEN M. CAVANAUGH,

Defendants.

No. 3:16-cv-01106-JJH

MOTION OF THE PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF MISSISSIPPI FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUR-REPLY AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT THEREOF Mississippi, by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby respectfully moves this Court for leave to file the attached sur-reply in support of its motion for appointment as Lead Plaintiff, approval of its selection of Lead Counsel, and in opposition to the competing motion. A district court has broad discretion to grant leave to file a sur-reply addressing new arguments advanced for the first time on reply. *See, e.g., United States v. 2007 BMW 335i Convertible*, 648 F. Supp. 2d 944, 953 (N.D. Ohio 2009). The 173 pages of materials that Société Générale and Birmingham submitted on reply—including a 15 page brief and 14 separate exhibits, which include two expert declarations—present new facts and raise new arguments that are directly contrary to Société Générale's prior positions, and which necessitate a response.

It is now clear that Société Générale's and Birmingham's tactic has been to hide-the-ball with regard to Société Générale's standing throughout the Lead Plaintiff briefing process. Société Générale initially, and repeatedly, asserted that it had standing because it purchased shares of HCP stock and incurred losses as a result of the violations alleged in this action. *See* ECF No. 21-4 ¶2. Birmingham also specifically represented that it decided to work with Société Générale because Société Générale itself suffered losses from its investments in HCP stock. *See id.* ¶3.

Now, for the first time on reply, Société Générale and Birmingham claim that Société Générale has standing either through the so-called "prudential exception[]" to the injury-in-fact requirement, or because claims were assigned to it. ECF No. 41 at 3. In support of its latest claim, Société Générale submitted a declaration from a professor in Germany (*see* ECF No. 42-1) as well as a declaration from Société Générale (*see* ECF No. 42-8), which flatly contradict Société Générale's initial position that its standing was based on the loss Société Générale itself incurred.

¹ All capitalized terms are defined in Mississippi's moving, opposition, and reply briefs in support of its motion for appointment as Lead Plaintiff, unless otherwise indicated. *See* ECF Nos. 23-1, 38, 43.

See ECF No. 21-4 ¶2. Société Générale never even mentioned the "prudential exception" in its moving or opposition briefs and its belated reliance on the doctrine—and submission of two declarations in support thereof—should not be countenanced. Moreover, the purported "assignments" on which Société Générale now relies were executed before Société Générale moved for appointment as Lead Plaintiff, but were inexplicably omitted from its prior submissions and held back until reply.

Also for the first time on reply, Société Générale and Birmingham loft erroneous, *ad hominem* attacks against Mississippi and one of its counsel. *See* ECF No. 41 at 14 n.6. While these contentions are easily dismissed, Mississippi has not yet had an opportunity to respond given Société Générale's and Birmingham's tactic of withholding arguments for reply. There is no reason that these contentions could not have been raised in Société Générale's and Birmingham's opposition brief, and their attempt to raise such new arguments on reply is prejudicial to Mississippi and the Class.

Société Générale's and Birmingham's litigation tactics—including the withholding of documents and delaying of arguments—deprived Mississippi of pertinent information that would allow it to evaluate and challenge Société Générale's standing, and to otherwise respond to Société Générale's and Birmingham's contentions. Mississippi raises no new issues in its proposed 9-page sur-reply, but merely responds to the new contentions, authority, and facts that Société Générale and Birmingham improperly reserved for reply. Mississippi also respectfully submits that the proposed sur-reply will materially assist this Court in reaching a just and fair resolution of the competing Lead Plaintiff motions.

CONCLUSION

Mississippi respectfully requests leave of Court to file the attached sur-reply in support of its motion to be appointed Lead Plaintiff, approval of its selection of Lead Counsel, and in

opposition to the competing motion.

Dated: August 16, 2016 Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Scott D. Simpkins

John R. Climaco (0011456) Scott D. Simpkins (0066775)

CLIMACO WILCOX PECA TARANTINO & GAROFOLI CO., LPA

55 Public Square, Suite 1950

Cleveland, OH 44113

Telephone: (216) 621-8484 Facsimile: (216) 771-1632 jrclim@climacolaw.com sdsimp@climacolaw.com

Local Counsel for Proposed Lead Plaintiff Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi

Gerald H. Silk (admitted pro hac vice) Avi Josefson (admitted pro hac vice) Rebecca E. Boon (admitted pro hac vice) BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER

& GROSSMANN LLP

1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020 Telephone: (212) 554-1400 Facsimile: (212) 554-1444 jerry@blbglaw.com avi@blbglaw.com rebecca.boon@blbglaw.com

Jeffrey W. Golan Chad A. Carder Julie B. Palley

BARRACK, RODOS & BACINE

3300 Two Commerce Square 2001 Market Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 Telephone: (215) 963-0600 Facsimile: (215) 963-0838 igolan@barrack.com ccarder@barrack.com ipalley@barrack.com

Counsel for Proposed Lead Plaintiff

Case: 3:16-cv-01106-JJH Doc #: 47 Filed: 08/16/16 5 of 6. PageID #: 846

Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi and Proposed Lead Counsel for the Class

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A copy of the foregoing was filed electronically this 16th day of August 2016. Notice of this filing will be sent to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

/s/ Scott D. Simpkins

John R. Climaco (0011456) Scott D. Simpkins (0066775)

Scott D. Simpkins (0066//5)

CLIMACO WILCOX PECA TARANTINO & GAROFOLI CO., LPA

55 Public Square, Suite 1950

Cleveland, OH 44113

Telephone: (216) 621-8484 Facsimile: (216) 771-1632 jrclim@climacolaw.com sdsimp@climacolaw.com

Local Counsel for Proposed Lead Plaintiff
Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi